

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

11

United States Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

_	·····					ı
L	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	Π
	09/043,43	3 01/07/	99 MUNDSCHENK	D	15050.4.2	יי

HM22/0727 TEXAMINER

PHILIP M GOLDMAN

FREDRIKSON & BYRON

1100 INTERNATIONAL CENTRE

900 2ND AVENUE SOUTH

MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-3397

FEXAMINER

BAWA, R

BAWA, R

BAWA, R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1619

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. **09/043.433**

Applicant(s)

Mundschenk et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner Mr. Raj Bawa

Art Unit 1619

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4.4.01 and 5.2.01 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) 🗶 Claim(s) 1-20 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideratio 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) U Claim(s) is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement 8) Claims Application Papers 9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: all approved by disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 20) Other:

Art Unit: 1619

Detailed Action

(1) The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no basis in the specification as filed for the phrases "stable foam"; and "homogeneous stable suspension." This is considered introduction of new matter.

(2) The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

(I) Claim 1 is indefinite for the reasons that follow. The phrases "stable foam"; "stable suspension"; and "stable aqueous formulation" are confusing in the context recited because (i) they are not defined in the specification; and (ii) it is unclear whether chemical or physical stability is claimed. As a result, the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired is unascertainable. Furthermore, it is unclear as to how the chemical agent is in the "form of a solution or stable".

Application/Control Number: 09/043,433

Art Unit: 1619

suspension" since the "chemical agent" appears to be equivalent to a "chemical compound" and not a system of compounds. Furthermore, it is unclear as to how a surface active agent acts as a delivery agent since this is generally the role of agents such as propellants.

(II) Please clarify the meaning and scope of the phrase "purified sea water." What has been "purified" from the sea water? What is the salt content or pH? Is this a art-recognized phrase?

In view of the above statements, it is the Examiner's position that the claims do <u>not</u> meet the threshold requirement of clarity and precision and are not in compliance for definiteness of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Note that definiteness of the claims is important to allow others who wish to enter the marketplace to ascertain the boundaries of protection that are provided by the claims (*Ex parte Kristensen* 10 USPQ2d 1701, 1703).

(3) This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: hydrogen peroxide or purified sea water as the chemical agents.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 and 11 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/043,433

Art Unit: 1619

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- (4) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (5) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Raj Bawa whose telephone number is (703) -308-2423. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diana Dudash, can be reached on (703) -308-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) -305-3592.

Application/Control Number: 09/043,433 Page 5

Art Unit: 1619

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) -308-1235.

Bawa/LR

RAJ BAWA, Ph.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER